



Review article

Interventions to reduce the risk of ovarian and fallopian tube cancer: A European Menopause and Andropause Society Position Statement



Faustino R. Pérez-López^{a,*}, Iuliana Ceausu^b, Herman Depypere^c, Sean Kehoe^d,
Irene Lambrinoudaki^e, Alfred Mueck^f, Levent M. Senturk^g, Tommaso Simoncini^h,
John C. Stevensonⁱ, Petra Stute^j, Margaret Rees^k

^a Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Zaragoza University Faculty of Medicine, Lozano-Blesa University Hospital, Zaragoza 50009, Spain

^b Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, 'Carol Davila' University of Medicine and Pharmacy, and Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, 'Dr. I. Cantacuzino' Hospital, Bucharest, Romania

^c Breast Clinic and Menopause Clinic, University Hospital, De Pintelaan 185, 9000 Gent, Belgium

^d Lawson Tait Professor of Gynaecological Cancer, Institute of Cancer and Genomics, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK

^e Second Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, National and Kapodestrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece

^f University Women's Hospital of Tuebingen, Calwer Street 7, 72076 Tuebingen, Germany

^g Istanbul University Cerrahpasa School of Medicine, Dept. of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Division of Reproductive Endocrinology, IVF Unit, Istanbul, Turkey

^h Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, University of Pisa, Via Roma, 67, 56100, Pisa, Italy

ⁱ National Heart and Lung Institute, Imperial College London, Royal Brompton Hospital, London SW3 6NP, UK

^j Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University Women's Hospital, Bern, Switzerland

^k Women's Centre, John Radcliffe Hospital, Oxford OX3 9DU, UK

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Ovarian cancer
Fallopian tubes cancer
Ovarian borderline tumors
BRCA gene mutation
Bilateral salpingectomy
Bilateral oophorectomy
Combined oral contraceptives
Hysterectomy
Non-steroid anti-inflammatory drugs
Obesity
Smoking

ABSTRACT

Background: Ovarian cancer is a leading cause of female gynecological cancer-related death, and there are no effective screening procedures or early diagnostic approaches.

Aims: To examine risk factors and risk-reducing strategies for both sporadic and familial tumors.

Materials and methods: Literature review and consensus of expert opinion.

Results and conclusions: In women with a genetic predisposition to ovarian cancer, salpingo-oophorectomy reduces the risk of ovarian malignancy, and to a lesser degree of breast cancer. Opportunistic bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy and bilateral salpingectomy may also prevent epithelial ovarian cancer. In premenopausal women, bilateral salpingectomy should be preferred to tubal ligation, and be performed when hysterectomy is carried out for benign uterine disease. Hysterectomy and the use of combined oral contraceptives and non-steroid anti-inflammatory drugs are also recognized to reduce the risk of ovarian cancer, as do the prevention of obesity and smoking cessation.

© 2017 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Contents

1. Background	87
2. Histological types and carcinogenic trajectories	87
3. Familial cancer	87
3.1. BRCA1 and BRCA2 gene mutations	87
3.2. Other gene mutations related to an increased risk of ovarian cancer	88
4. Surgical strategies to reduce ovarian cancer risk	88
5. Hormonal interventions and ovarian cancer risk	88
6. Non-hormonal interventions	89
7. Diet and lifestyle	89

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: faustino.perez@unizar.es (F.R. Pérez-López).

8. Summary	89
Contributors	89
Conflict of interest	89
Funding	89
Provenance and peer review	89
References	89

1. Background

Approximately 1.3% of women will be diagnosed with ovarian cancer at some point during their life. Mortality is high, with a 5-year survival rate ranging from 36% to 46%, although there has been a net survival improvement during the last decades, especially among young and mid-aged women [1,2].

The incidence of ovarian cancer has decreased during recent years in countries in which more women have used oral contraceptives for long periods; moreover, where new therapeutic strategies have been introduced (particularly for germ cell cancers) there has been a reduction in mortality rates [3,4]. The primary intervention is normally surgery, though even in early-stage disease many recommend adjuvant chemotherapy [5,6].

The high mortality rate of ovarian cancer is largely due to its late stage at presentation, partly related to the lack of early symptoms and effective screening methods [7,8]. To date, screening asymptomatic women without a genetic predisposition has not been proven to reduce mortality, and yet it does increase the risk of healthy women undergoing unnecessary surgical procedures [8], with the inevitable associated morbidity and risk of surgical mortality [9]. Hence, this position statement will examine the evidence regarding risk-reducing strategies.

2. Histological types and carcinogenic trajectories

Ovarian cancer types include: (1) epithelial ovarian carcinomas (EOCs) (90%), usually in postmenopausal women; (2) germ cell carcinomas (4%), more common in adolescents and women in their early 20s; (3) stromal carcinomas (teratomas, dysgerminomas and endodermal sinus tumors), diagnosed mostly at early stages; and (4) other primitive and metastatic malignant tumors, which are rarer. EOCs can arise not only from the ovarian surface but also from the fallopian tubes and the peritoneum [10–15]. This knowledge creates a new scenario for opportunistic bilateral salpingectomy to prevent ovarian cancer, while preserving the ovarian endocrine function [16–19]. This is important for premenopausal women since bilateral oophorectomy has been related to higher all-cause mortality and death rates due to ischemic heart disease and cancer [20].

Each histologic variety of ovarian cancer is associated with a different clinical natural history, epidemiologic factors and genetic and familial influences [12,21,22]. Although the precise causes of ovarian cancers are unknown, environmental, genetic, hormonal, and local genital factors have all been implicated. Some ovarian cancers cluster in families (hereditary ovarian cancer) and develop and progress earlier than sporadic (non-hereditary) tumors. Clinical and molecular studies suggest two different carcinogenic trajectories. Type I includes low-grade serous, clear cell, low-grade endometrioid, mucinous cancers and Brenner tumors, all of which are relatively stable from a genetic point of view. Type II includes high-grade epithelial serous, high-grade endometrioid and undifferentiated cancers and mixed mesodermal malignancies. These have a high genetic instability and a high p53 mutation prevalence [23]. They arise from extra-ovarian tissue, mostly the fallopian tubes [13,24].

Epithelial ovarian borderline tumors (EOBT) are of low malignant potential, are different from low-grade tumors and are typically diagnosed in young women [15,25]. EOBT tumors usually require less radical treatments than frankly malignant ovarian cancers, and usually have a favorable prognosis, although they may recur even 20 years after primary diagnosis. The majority of these tumors are mucinous and serous. However, prognosis cannot be predicted by their histopathology [26]. During the last decades, the incidence of EOBT has increased 2- to 5-fold, probably due to greater histopathological experience [27,28].

Pelvic inflammation may be associated with both borderline ovarian tumors and cancers, and this risk may increase for women who experience multiple inflammatory episodes [29,30].

3. Familial cancer

A family history of ovarian cancer (and related syndromes) is a well-recognized risk factor. Thus, the presence of an ovarian cancer in one first-degree relative increases women's lifetime risk by 5%; the risk increases up to 7% if there are 2 such relatives [31]. The risk is also high in families with hereditary breast and ovarian cancer syndromes in association with autosomal dominant mutations of the BRCA1 and BRCA2 genes (such syndromes are also associated with TP53 genes, but at a lesser frequency). However, other genes also seem to play a significant role (along with lifestyle factors) in the genesis of different subtypes of EOCs [32].

3.1. BRCA1 and BRCA2 gene mutations

BRCA1 and BRCA2 gene mutations increase ovarian and breast cancer risk, and also to a lesser degree the risk for fallopian tube, peritoneal, and pancreatic cancers. The risk of ovarian cancer is higher in BRCA1 carriers (two-fold) than in BRCA2 carriers [33]. Furthermore, women with fallopian tube cancer frequently have BRCA1 or BRCA2 gene mutations [34]. Some women with BRCA mutations have lower survival rates, and this has been related to a higher FIGO disease stage at diagnosis and more aggressive serous variants, suggesting that familial cancers are more aggressive than non-familial cancer types [35]. In contrast, the risk of endometrial cancer in families with BRCA gene mutations is nearly the same as that found in the general population without such mutations. Nonetheless, BRCA mutation carriers may also have a slightly increased risk of serous and/or serous-like endometrial carcinomas (but not endometrioid type) [36]. In some BRCA1 mutation carriers, the increased risk of endometrial cancer has been related to the use of tamoxifen [37]. Therefore, women considering salpingo-oophorectomy (e.g. those who are BRCA positive) should also envisage hysterectomy, especially if it is anticipated that they will take tamoxifen for a long period.

Preventive surgery should be individualized to the woman's specific circumstances, including her age and reproductive desires, and the presence of uterine pathology. Prophylactic oophorectomy (preferentially a salpingo-oophorectomy), which may be combined with hysterectomy, can be offered to women aged over 35 years who have completed childbearing. Preventive salpingo-oophorectomy is associated with an 80% reduction in the risk of ovarian, fallopian tube, or peritoneal cancer in BRCA1 or BRCA2

carriers and a 77% reduction in all-cause mortality [38]. However, intra-abdominal carcinomatosis of ovarian serous malignancies has been reported after bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy in women with BRCA mutations [39,40]. Despite this small risk, bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy remains the most cost-effective prophylactic intervention and reduces all-cause mortality [38,41,42]. This intervention can also prevent breast cancer in premenopausal women with BRCA2 mutations, although not those with BRCA1 mutations [43]. Premenopausal women with BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutations or with less frequent gene mutations, without prior breast cancer, undergoing oophorectomy should be advised to take menopausal hormone therapy (MHT) until the average age of natural menopause, since it does not appear to increase the risk of breast cancer [44–46]. A meta-analysis indicates that in these women and among those undergoing prophylactic salpingo-oophorectomy before the age of 40, MHT should be individualized and closely monitored [46]. This population may have an increased risk of endometrial cancer [36,47]. In light of this evidence, the option to include a hysterectomy as part of the surgical preventive intervention may be considered but must be balanced against the extra surgical morbidity.

Contraceptive interventions have been studied in women with BRCA gene mutations. Since many EOCs originate from fallopian tube lesions, the effect of tubal ligation and other contraceptive treatments has been studied though data are limited. In BRCA gene carriers, tubal ligation is associated with a non-significant reduction of fallopian tubal cancer while oral contraceptive use has a protective role [48].

3.2. Other gene mutations related to an increased risk of ovarian cancer

Phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN) hamartoma tumor syndrome (or Cowden disease) is characterized by thyroid problems (including cancer) and breast cancer. These women also have an increased risk of serous EOC, which is related to gene mutations [49,50]. Women with hereditary nonpolyposis colon cancer (Lynch syndrome) also have an increased risk of endometrial cancer and (to a lesser extent) ovarian cancer. Women with Lynch syndrome have a lifetime risk of ovarian cancer of about 10% and they represent up to 1% of all EOCs [51,52].

Peutz-Jeghers syndrome usually affects teenagers; they have a high risk of cancer at different digestive sites as well as of epithelial or sex cord ovarian cancers. This syndrome is related with the STK11 gene mutation [52].

The preventive management of gynecological cancer risk in all these gene-mutated syndromes (although risks are less than with BRCA mutations) includes bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy. In women with Lynch syndrome, hysterectomy should be also considered because of the increased risk of endometrial cancer.

4. Surgical strategies to reduce ovarian cancer risk

Prophylactic bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy has been used at the time of hysterectomy among postmenopausal women with benign conditions, although its use in premenopausal women seems a matter of controversy. In the premenopausal population, there has been a trend to change bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy to bilateral salpingectomy during the last decade [16,17,53], in order to preserve ovarian function yet eliminate fallopian tubes as the source of the initial EOC. It seems that in women with an average risk of ovarian cancer, ovary preservation may have general health benefits, including the reduction of future cardiovascular risk, osteoporosis, sexual dysfunction, cognitive function and mental health, and impaired quality of life [20,54].

Fallopian tubal ligation and hysterectomy (if performed before age 35) reduce the risk of EOC, particularly non-serous types [55,56]. An Australian Cancer Study, a Danish register-based case-control study, and the Million Women Study reported that tubal ligation has different preventive effects according to histologic types, the protection being greater for high-risk serous, endometrioid and clear cell carcinomas, while results are conflicting regarding mucinous carcinomas [16,57,58].

The effect of salpingectomy on ovarian cancer risk has been compared with that provided by tubal ligation. Madsen et al. [16] and Falconer et al. [17] reported that salpingectomy reduced EOC in the Danish register-based case-control study and in a national US population-based study, respectively. The results suggest that opportunistic bilateral salpingectomy should be recommended when women are treated by hysterectomy for benign conditions and among those seeking sterilization [18,19]. This approach means the preservation of ovarian function with the prevention of the all-cause mortality and death rate due to ischemic heart disease and cancer, associated with oophorectomy [20].

Hysterectomy alone due to benign conditions in young women, with conservation of the fallopian tubes and the ovaries, may also reduce the risk of ovarian cancer [56,58].

5. Hormonal interventions and ovarian cancer risk

Combined oral contraceptives (COC) reduce the risk of EOC. The use of oral contraceptives is associated with a reduction in ovarian cancer risk in the general population [59], probably related to anovulation (reduction of the risk of implantation of fallopian tube cancer cells). A meta-analysis of case-control and cohort studies reported a significant reduction in ovarian cancer risk whenever users are compared with never users. In addition, when use is for 10 or more years there is a reduction of more than 50% in the incidence [60]. However, COCs do not seem to affect the incidence of mucinous tumors [61]. On the other hand, the use of progestogen-only contraceptive pills does not seem to provide protection against ovarian cancer risk [62]. Despite this, the levonorgestrel-releasing intrauterine system decreases the risk of mucinous, endometrioid and serous ovarian carcinomas [63].

The protective effect of COC is closely related to the dose of estrogen and treatment duration, although there is no accumulative effect of estrogen intake [62], and the protective effect is not reduced with increasing age [64]. COC use before the first full-term pregnancy has a protective effect on the risk of EOC. A case-control study of invasive EOC in parous women aged 40 or more reported a 9% risk reduction in those who used combined contraceptives before the first birth, suggesting a prolonged protective effect after cessation of COC use [65]. Combined hormone contraceptive use also has a significant inverse association with BRCA-related ovarian cancer risk, and the effect is similar when BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutation carrying women are analyzed separately [66].

The incidence of EOC has been associated with the use of menopausal hormone therapy. The worldwide reduction in the prevalence of ovarian cancer during the last decade has been linked with the decline in MHT use [67,68], although the causality of this association has been strongly refuted [59,69,70]. No adverse effects have been shown with MHT use after diagnosis and treatment for ovarian cancer [71]. However, there are concerns for women with advanced endometrioid adenocarcinomas who may have residual disease that is hormone-sensitive. While there is a lack of data regarding the use of MHT post-treatment for germ cell tumors, it is typically thought to be safe. Similarly, there are no data on the safety of MHT after treatment for granulosa cell tumors, but it is generally avoided as these tumors are frequently hormonally active [72].

6. Non-hormonal interventions

Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) and some analgesics may have chemopreventive actions against cancer from different organs. Low-dose aspirin (150 mg/day for ≥ 5 years) may reduce the risk of EOC: with regard to histological types, the strongest inverse associations were seen for mucinous and endometrioid tumors [73]. The African American Cancer Epidemiology Study on EOC risk analyzed the effect of aspirin, non-aspirin NSAIDs and acetaminophen on ovarian cancer risk and found that it was significant for NSAIDs (aspirin and non-aspirin) while acetaminophen had no protective effect. It is likely that ovarian follicle rupture during ovulation releases fluid containing prostaglandins and other compounds that induce inflammation, which can potentially be neutralized by NSAIDs [74]. Therefore, small doses of NSAIDs may be a preventive intervention for women at high risk of ovarian cancer but more research is required.

7. Diet and lifestyle

Studies analyzing the association between dietary types or contents, alcohol consumption, and coffee or tea consumption have not provided any recommendation to prevent ovarian cancer. The effect of recreational physical activity on ovarian cancer risk is inconclusive or controversial. A meta-analysis reported a 12% increase in EOC risk for obese women ($>30 \text{ kg/m}^2$), after adjusting for different confounding factors such as MHT use [75]. Furthermore, another meta-analysis of prospective studies reported a non-linear increase in ovarian cancer for each 5 units of BMI increase, this rise starting with a BMI of 28 kg/m^2 and above. In addition, there was no association between ovarian cancer and weight gain, hip circumference or waist-hip ratio [76]. A recent study among African American women pointed out that ovarian cancer risk is significantly elevated in women with $\text{BMI} \geq 40 \text{ kg/m}^2$ as compared with those with a $\text{BMI} < 25$, and there is an association between this cancer risk and weight gain after age 18 comparing the highest versus the lowest quartile. In postmenopausal women (MHT users and non-users) ovarian cancer risk increased by 15% per 5 kg/m^2 increase of BMI, or 6% per 5 kg of weight gain [77]. For each 5 kg increase in adult weight, ovarian cancer risk increases in postmenopausal women, both in users and non-users of MHT [78]. In addition, in postmenopausal women the risk is similar among MHT users and non-users. It is important to note that obesity does not seem to increase the risk of the most aggressive (or lethal) cancers, and that associations are similar in MHT users and non-users [79]. These results suggest the importance of avoiding obesity in young women and preventing weight gain.

Cigarette smoking has been associated with certain histologic subtypes of ovarian cancer [80]. Women who smoked for more than 20 years had 3 times the risk of developing borderline EOCs as compared with never smokers, and there was an almost significant relation for mucinous tumors. In addition, there was also a significant dose-response effect with smoking intensity and duration for both borderline and serous tumors [81,82]. Another recent study reported that smoking is associated with an increased risk of mucinous cancers, and a decreased risk for clear cell cancers [83]. Therefore, on these grounds alone, it is recommended that tobacco consumption is reduced or eliminated.

8. Summary

- Opportunistic bilateral salpingectomy may prevent ovarian cancer. Bilateral salpingectomy should be preferred to tubal ligation, and should be recommended in cases of hysterectomy for benign conditions.

- Combined but not progestogen-only contraceptive medication reduces the risk of ovarian cancer.
- Menopausal hormone therapy should be individualized in oophorectomized BRCA gene mutation carriers or among those with other genetic-related increased ovarian cancer risk.
- NSAIDs, particularly aspirin, may reduce the risk of ovarian cancer but more research is required.
- Being overweight or obese increases the risk of ovarian cancer. There is no evidence that any particular diet reduces the risk of ovarian cancer.

Contributors

Faustino R. Pérez-López prepared the initial draft, which was circulated to EMAS board members for comment and approval; production was coordinated by Irene Lambrinouadaki and Margaret Rees.

Conflict of interest

- F. R. Pérez-López: None declared.
 I. Ceausu: None declared.
 H. Depypere: None declared.
 S. Kehoe: In the past year Dr Sean Kehoe has received speakers' honoraria from Roche. He is member of the advisory Board of Roche.
 I. Lambrinouadaki: None declared.
 A. Mueck: None declared.
 L. M. Senturk: None declared.
 T. Simoncini: None declared.
 J. C. Stevenson: In the past year Dr. John C. Stevenson has received grants/research support from Mylan, consulting fees from Abbott and Mylan, and speakers honoraria from Mylan.
 P. Stute: In the past year Dr Petra Stute has received grants/research support from Medinova AG and Dr Kade/Besins Pharma GmbH, consulting fees from Max Zeller Söhne AG, Madaus GmbH, and speakers honoraria from MSD Merck Sharp & Dohme AG, Dr Kade/Besins Pharma GmbH and Max Zeller Söhne AG.
 M. Rees: None declared

Funding

No funding was received for the preparation of this position statement.

Provenance and peer review

This article is an EMAS position statement and was not externally peer reviewed.

References

- [1] E. Surveillance, End Results Program (SEER), SEER Cancer Statistics Factsheets: Ovarian Cancer, 2016 (Accessed 8 February 2017) <https://seer.cancer.gov/statfacts/html/ovary.html>.
- [2] M.D. Chirlaque, Z. Uhry, D. Salmerón, M.I. Sánchez-Zapata, G.F. Zannoni, C. Navarro, GRELL EURO CARE -5 Working Group. Trends in net survival from ovarian cancer in six European Latin countries: results from the SUDCAN population-based study, *Eur. J. Cancer Prev.* (2017) (Jan);26 Trends in cancer net survival in six European Latin Countries: the SUDCAN study:S107-S113. 10.1097/CEJ.0000000000000302. PubMed PMID: 28005613.
- [3] M. Malvezzi, G. Carioli, T. Rodriguez, E. Negri, C. La Vecchia, Global trends and predictions in ovarian cancer mortality, *Ann. Oncol.* 27 (November (11)) (2016) 2017–2025.
- [4] J.A. Rauh-Hain, A. Melamed, A. Wright, A. Gockley, J.T. Clemmer, J.O. Schorge, M.G. Del Carmen, N.L. Keating, Overall survival following neoadjuvant chemotherapy vs primary cytoreductive surgery in women with epithelial ovarian cancer: analysis of the national cancer database, *JAMA Oncol.* 3 (1) (2017) 76–82.
- [5] S. Kehoe, J. Hook, M. Nankivell, G.C. Jayson, H. Kitchener, T. Lopes, D. Luesley, T. Perren, S. Bannoo, M. Mascarenhas, S. Dobbs, S. Essapen, J. Twigg, J. Herod,

- G. McCluggage, M. Parmar, A.M. Swart, Primary chemotherapy versus primary surgery for newly diagnosed advanced ovarian cancer (CHORUS): an open-label, randomised, controlled, non-inferiority trial, *Lancet* 386 (July (9990)) (2015) 249–257.
- [6] A. Leary, R. Cowan, D. Chi, S. Kehoe, M. Nankivell, Primary surgery or neoadjuvant chemotherapy in advanced ovarian cancer: the debate continues, *Am. Soc. Clin. Oncol. Educ. Book* 35 (2016) 153–162, <http://dx.doi.org/10.14694/EDBK.160624> (Review.PubMed PMID:27249696).
- [7] F.R. Pérez-López, P. Chedraui, J.M. Troyano-Luque, Peri- and post-menopausal incidental adnexal masses and the risk of sporadic ovarian malignancy: new insights and clinical management, *Gynecol. Endocrinol.* 26 (September (9)) (2010) 631–643.
- [8] V.A. Moyer, U.S. Preventive Services Task Force, Screening for ovarian cancer: U.S. preventive services task force reaffirmation recommendation statement, *Ann. Intern. Med.* 157 (December (12)) (2012) 900–904.
- [9] S.S. Buys, E. Partridge, A. Black, C.C. Johnson, L. Lamerato, C. Isaacs, D.J. Reding, R.T. Greenlee, L.A. Yokochi, B. Kessel, E.D. Crawford, T.R. Church, G.L. Andriole, J.L. Weissfeld, M.N. Fouad, D. Chia, B. O'Brien, L.R. Ragard, J.D. Clapp, J.M. Rathmell, T.L. Riley, P. Hartge, P.F. Pinsky, C.S. Zhu, G. Izmirlian, B.S. Kramer, A.B. Miller, J.L. Xu, P.C. Prorok, J.K. Gohagan, C.D. Berg, Effect of screening on ovarian cancer mortality: the prostate, lung, colorectal and ovarian (PLCO) cancer screening randomized controlled trial, *JAMA* 305 (June (22)) (2011) 2295–2303.
- [10] S.A. Cannistra, D.M. Gershenson, A. Recht, Ovarian cancer, fallopian tube carcinoma, and peritoneal carcinoma, in: V.T. De Vita, T.S. Lawrence, S.A. Rosenberg (Eds.), *De Vita, Hellman, and Rosenberg's Cancer: Principles and Practice of Oncology*, 9th ed., Lippincott, Williams, Wilkins, Philadelphia, 2011, pp. 1368–1391.
- [11] R.J. Kurman, M.L. Carcangiu, C.S. Herrington, R.H. Young, WHO classification of tumours of female reproductive organs, in: R.J. Kurman, M.L. Carcangiu, C.S. Herrington, R.H. Young (Eds.), 4th ed., IARC, Lyon, 2014 ([Chapter 1]: Tumours of the ovary).
- [12] J. Prat, Ovarian carcinomas: five distinct diseases with different origins, genetic alterations, and clinicopathological features, *Virchows Arch.* 460 (3) (2012) 237–249.
- [13] J. Prat, FIGO Committee on Gynecologic Oncology Staging classification for cancer of the ovary, fallopian tube, and peritoneum, *Int. J. Gynecol. Obstet.* 124 (1) (2014) 1–5.
- [14] N. Singh, C.B. Gilks, L. Hirschowitz, S. Kehoe, I.A. McNeish, D. Miller, R. Naik, N. Wilkinson, W.G. McCluggage, Primary site assignment in tubo-ovarian high-grade serous carcinoma: consensus statement on unifying practice worldwide, *Gynecol. Oncol.* 141 (May (2)) (2016) 195–198.
- [15] D. Nasioudis, M. Alevizakos, K. Holcomb, S.S. Witkin, Malignant and borderline epithelial ovarian tumors in the pediatric and adolescent population, *Maturitas* 96 (2017) 45–50.
- [16] C. Madsen, L. Baandrup, C. Dehlendorff, S.K. Kjaer, Tubal ligation and salpingectomy and the risk of epithelial ovarian cancer and borderline ovarian tumors: a nationwide case-control study, *Acta Obstet. Gynecol. Scand.* 94 (2015) 86–94.
- [17] H. Falconer, L. Yin, H. Grönberg, D. Altman, Ovarian cancer risk after salpingectomy: a nationwide population-based study, *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.* 107 (2015) (dju410).
- [18] F.R. Pérez-López, P. Chedraui, Surgical prevention of epithelial ovary cancer without oophorectomy: changing the future, *Climacteric* 19 (October (5)) (2016) 417–418.
- [19] S.H. Yoon, S.N. Kim, S.H. Shim, S.B. Kang, S.J. Lee, Bilateral salpingectomy can reduce the risk of ovarian cancer in the general population: a meta-analysis, *Eur. J. Cancer* 55 (March) (2016) 38–46.
- [20] J. Mytton, F. Evison, P.J. Chilton, R.J. Lilford, Removal of all ovarian tissue versus conserving ovarian tissue at time of hysterectomy in premenopausal patients with benign disease: study using routine data and data linkage, *BMJ* 356 (February 6) (2017) j372.
- [21] S. Kommoss, C.B. Gilks, A. du Bois, F. Kommoss, Ovarian carcinoma diagnosis: the clinical impact of 15 years of change, *Br. J. Cancer* 115 (October (8)) (2016) 993–999.
- [22] M. Matz, M.P. Coleman, H. Carreira, D. Salmerón, M.D. Chirlaque, C. Allemani, CONCORD Working Group: worldwide comparison of ovarian cancer survival: histological group and stage at diagnosis (CONCORD-2), *Gynecol. Oncol.* 144 (2) (2017) 396–404.
- [23] D. Lim, E. Oliva, Precursors and pathogenesis of ovarian carcinoma, *Pathology (Phila.)* 45 (April (3)) (2013) 229–242.
- [24] A.N. Karnezis, K.R. Cho, C.B. Gilks, C.L. Pearce, D.G. Huntsman, The disparate origins of ovarian cancers: pathogenesis and prevention strategies, *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 17 (January (1)) (2017) 65–74.
- [25] A. Lazarou, C. Fotopoulou, A. Coumbos, J. Sehouli, J. Vasiljeva, I. Braicu, H. Burger, W. Kuehn, Long-term follow-up of borderline ovarian tumors clinical outcome and prognostic factors, *Anticancer Res.* 34 (11) (2014) 6725–6730.
- [26] S. Avril, E. Hahn, K. Specht, et al., Histopathologic features of ovarian borderline tumors are not predictive of clinical outcome, *Gynecol. Oncol.* 127 (2012) 516–524.
- [27] I. Skírnisdóttir, H. Garmo, E. Wilander, L. Holmberg, Borderline ovarian tumors in Sweden 1960–2005: trends in incidence and age at diagnosis compared to ovarian cancer, *Int. J. Cancer* 123 (October (8)) (2008) 1897–1901.
- [28] C.G. Hannibal, L.D. Huusom, A. Kjaerbye-Thygesen, A. Tabor, S.K. Kjaer, Trends in incidence of borderline ovarian tumors in Denmark 1978–2006, *Acta Obstet. Gynecol. Scand.* 90 (April (4)) (2011) 305–312.
- [29] H.W. Lin, Y.Y. Tu, S.Y. Lin, W.J. Su, W.L. Lin, W.Z. Lin, S.C. Wu, Y.L. Lai, Risk of ovarian cancer in women with pelvic inflammatory disease: a population-based study, *Lancet Oncol.* 12 (September (9)) (2011) 900–904.
- [30] C.B. Rasmussen, A. Jensen, V. Albiери, K.K. Andersen, S.K. Kjaer, Increased risk of borderline ovarian tumors in women with a history of pelvic inflammatory disease: a nationwide population-based cohort study, *Gynecol. Oncol.* 143 (2) (2016) 346–351.
- [31] U.S. Preventive Services Task Force, Screening for ovarian cancer: recommendation statement. U.S. preventive services task force, *Am. Fam. Physician* 71 (4) (2005) 759–762.
- [32] G. Cuellar-Partida, Y. Lu, S.C. Dixon, Australian Ovarian Cancer Study, Fasching PA, et al. Assessing the genetic architecture of epithelial ovarian cancer histological subtypes, *Hum. Genet.* 135 (July (7)) (2016) 741–756.
- [33] N. Petrucelli, M.B. Daly, G.L. Feldman, Hereditary breast and ovarian cancer due to mutations in BRCA1 and BRCA2, *Genet. Med.* 12 (May (5)) (2010) 245–259.
- [34] D. Vicus, A. Finch, I. Cass, B. Rosen, J. Murphy, I. Fan, R. Royer, J. McLaughlin, B. Karlan, S.A. Narod, Prevalence of BRCA1 and BRCA2 germ line mutations among women with carcinoma of the fallopian tube, *Gynecol. Oncol.* 118 (3) (2010) 299–302.
- [35] M. Lee, M. Reilly, L.S. Lindström, K. Czene, Differences in survival for patients with familial and sporadic cancer, *Int. J. Cancer* 140 (3) (2017) 581–590.
- [36] C.A. Shu, M.C. Pike, A.R. Jotwani, T.M. Friebe, R.A. Soslow, D.A. Levine, K.L. Nathanson, J.A. Konner, A.G. Arnold, F. Bogomolny, F. Dao, N. Olvera, E.K. Bancroft, D.J. Goldfrank, Z.K. Stadler, M.E. Robson, C.L. Brown, M.M. Leitao Jr, N.R. Abu-Rustum, C.A. Aghajanian, J.L. Blum, S.L. Neuhausen, J.E. Garber, M.B. Daly, C. Isaacs, R.A. Eeles, P.A. Ganz, R.R. Barakat, K. Offit, S.M. Domchek, T.R. Rebbeck, N.D. Kauff, Uterine cancer after risk-reducing salpingo-oophorectomy without hysterectomy in women with BRCA mutations, *JAMA Oncol.* 2 (November (11)) (2016) 1434–1440.
- [37] Y. Segev, J. Iqbal, J. Lubinski, J. Gronwald, H.T. Lynch, P. Moller, P. Ghadirian, B. Rosen, N. Tung, C. Kim-Sing, W.D. Foulkes, S.L. Neuhausen, L. Senter, C.F. Singer, B. Karlan, S. Ping, S.A. Narod, Hereditary Breast Cancer Study Group. The incidence of endometrial cancer in women with BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations: an international prospective cohort study, *Gynecol. Oncol.* 130 (July (1)) (2013) 127–131.
- [38] A. Finch, S. Bacopulos, B. Rosen, I. Fan, L. Bradley, H. Risch, J.R. McLaughlin, J. Lerner-Ellis, S.A. Narod, Preventing ovarian cancer through genetic testing: a population-based study, *Clin. Genet.* 86 (November (5)) (2016) 496–499.
- [39] A. Finch, M. Beiner, J. Lubinski, H.T. Lynch, P. Moller, B. Rosen, J. Murphy, P. Ghadirian, E. Friedman, W.D. Foulkes, C. Kim-Sing, T. Wagner, N. Tung, F. Couch, D. Stoppa-Lyonnet, P. Ainsworth, M. Daly, B. Pasini, R. Gershoni-Baruch, C. Eng, O.I. Olopade, J. McLennan, B. Karlan, J. Weitzel, P. Sun, Narod SA; Hereditary Ovarian Cancer Clinical Study Group Salpingo-oophorectomy and the risk of ovarian, fallopian tube, and peritoneal cancers in women with a BRCA1 or BRCA2 Mutation, *JAMA* 296 (2) (2006) 185–192.
- [40] N.D. Kauff, S.M. Domchek, T.M. Friebe, M.E. Robson, J. Lee, J.E. Garber, C. Isaacs, H. Evans, D.G. Lynch, R.A. Eeles, S.L. Neuhausen, M.B. Daly, E. Matloff, J.L. Blum, P. Sabbatini, R.R. Barakat, C. Hudis, L. Norton, K. Offit, T.R. Rebbeck, Risk-reducing salpingo-oophorectomy for the prevention of BRCA1- and BRCA2-associated breast and gynecologic cancer: a multicenter, prospective study, *J. Clin. Oncol.* 26 (8) (2008) 1331–1337.
- [41] C. Marchetti, F. De Felice, I. Palaia, G. Perniola, A. Musella, D. Musio, L. Muzii, V. Tombolini, P.B. Panici, Risk-reducing salpingo-oophorectomy: a meta-analysis on impact on ovarian cancer risk and all cause mortality in BRCA 1 and BRCA 2 mutation carriers, *BMC Womens Health* 12 (December (14)) (2014) 150.
- [42] A.R. Stuckey, M.A. Onstad, Hereditary breast cancer: an update on risk assessment and genetic testing in 2015, *Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol.* 213 (August (2)) (2015) 161–165.
- [43] J. Kotsopoulos, T. Huzarski, J. Gronwald, C.F. Singer, P. Moller, H.T. Lynch, S. Armel, B. Karlan, W.D. Foulkes, S.L. Neuhausen, L. Senter, N. Tung, J.N. Weitzel, A. Eisen, K. Metcalfe, C. Eng, T. Pal, G. Evans, P. Sun, J. Lubinski, S.A. Narod, p. Hereditary Breast Cancer Clinical Study Group, Bilateral oophorectomy and Breast cancer risk in BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers, *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.* 109 (September (1)) (2016) (pii:djw177. 10.1093/jnci/djw177. PubMed PMID: 27601060).
- [44] A. Finch, A. Valentini, E. Greenblatt, H.T. Lynch, P. Ghadirian, S. Armel, S.L. Neuhausen, C. Kim-Sing, N. Tung, B. Karlan, W.D. Foulkes, P. Sun, S. Narod, Hereditary Breast Cancer Study Group. Frequency of premature menopause in women who carry a BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutation, *Fertil. Steril.* 99 (May (6)) (2013) 1724–1728.
- [45] D. Li, C.Y. Ding, L.H. Qiu, Postoperative hormone replacement therapy for epithelial ovarian cancer patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis, *Gynecol. Oncol.* 139 (November (2)) (2015) 355–362.
- [46] N. Birrer, C. Chinchilla, M. Del Carmen, D.S. Dizon, Is hormone replacement therapy safe in women with a BRCA mutation? A systematic review of the contemporary literature, *Am. J. Clin. Oncol.* (February 2) (2016) (Epub ahead of print).
- [47] Y. Segev, B. Rosen, J. Lubinski, J. Gronwald, H.T. Lynch, P. Moller, C. Kim-Sing, P. Ghadirian, B. Karlan, C. Eng, D. Gilchrist, S.L. Neuhausen, A. Eisen, E. Friedman, D. Euhus, S. Ping, S.A. Narod, Hereditary Breast Cancer Study Group. Risk factors for endometrial cancer among women with a BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutation: a case control study, *Fam. Cancer* 14 (September (3)) (2015) 383–391.

- [48] D. Vicus, A. Finch, B. Rosen, I. Fan, L. Bradley, I. Cass, P. Sun, B. Karlan, J. McLaughlin, Narod SA; Hereditary Ovarian Cancer Clinical Study Group: risk factors for carcinoma of the fallopian tube in women with and without a germline BRCA mutation, *Gynecol. Oncol.* 118 (2) (2010) 155–159.
- [49] D.A. Modi, R.D. Tagare, S. Karthikeyan, A. Russo, M. Dean, D.A. Davis, D.D. Lantvit, J.E. Burdette, PAX2 function, regulation and targeting in fallopian tube-derived high-grade serous ovarian cancer, *Oncogene* (December 19) (2016), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/onc.2016.455> [Epub ahead of print] PubMed PMID 27991925.
- [50] I.N. Smith, J.M. Briggs, Structural mutation analysis of PTEN and its genotype-phenotype correlations in endometriosis and cancer, *Proteins* 84 (November (11)) (2016) 1625–1643.
- [51] M. Adachi, K. Banno, M. Yanokura, M. Iida, K. Nakamura, Y. Nogami, K. Umene, K. Masuda, I. Kisu, A. Ueki, A. Hirasawa, E. Tominaga, D. Aoki, Risk-reducing surgery in hereditary gynecological cancer: clinical applications in Lynch syndrome and hereditary breast and ovarian cancer, *Mol. Clin. Oncol.* 3 (March (2)) (2015) 267–273.
- [52] J.M. Lancaster, C.B. Powell, L.M. Chen, Richardson DL; SGO Clinical Practice Committee: society of Gynecologic Oncology statement on risk assessment for inherited gynecologic cancer predispositions, *Gynecol. Oncol.* 136 (January (1)) (2015) 3–7.
- [53] E. Mikhail, J.L. Salemi, M.F. Mogos, S. Hart, H.M. Salihu, A.N. Imudia, National trends of adnexal surgeries at the time of hysterectomy for benign indication, United States, 1998–2011, *Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol.* 213 (November (5)) (2015) (713, e1–13).
- [54] M. Hickey, M. Ambekar, I. Hammond, Should the ovaries be removed or retained at the time of hysterectomy for benign disease? *Hum. Reprod. Update* 16 (2) (2010) 131–141.
- [55] M.S. Rice, M.A. Murphy, S.S. Tworoger, Tubal ligation, hysterectomy and ovarian cancer: a meta-analysis, *J. Ovarian Res.* 5 (2012) 13.
- [56] M.S. Rice, S.E. Hankinson, S.S. Tworoger, Tubal ligation, hysterectomy, unilateral oophorectomy, and risk of ovarian cancer in the Nurses' Health Studies, *Fertil. Steril.* 102 (2014) (192–8, e3).
- [57] W. Sieh, S. Salvador, V. McGuire, R.P. Weber, K.L. Terry, M.A. Rossing, H. Risch, et al., Ovarian Cancer Association Consortium. Tubal ligation and risk of ovarian cancer subtypes: a pooled analysis of case-control studies, *Int. J. Epidemiol.* 42 (April (2)) (2013) 579–589.
- [58] M.A. Merritt, M. De Pari, A.F. Vitonis, L.J. Titus, D.W. Cramer, K.L. Terry, Reproductive characteristics in relation to ovarian cancer risk by histologic pathways, *Hum. Reprod.* 28 (5) (2013) 1406–1417.
- [59] P.M. Webb, A.C. Green, S.J. Jordan, Trends in hormone use and ovarian cancer incidence in US white and Australian women: implications for the future, *Cancer Causes Control.* 23 (February) (2017), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10552-017-0868-0>.
- [60] L.J. Havrilesky, P.G. Moorman, W.J. Lowery, J.M. Gierisch, R.R. Coeytaux, R.P. Urrutia, M. Dinan, A.J. McBroom, V. Hasselblad, G.D. Sanders, E.R. Myers, Oral contraceptive pills as primary prevention for ovarian cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis, *Obstet. Gynecol.* 122 (1) (2013) 139–147.
- [61] Collaborative Group on Epidemiological Studies of Ovarian Cancer, V. Beral, R. Doll, C. Hermon, R. Peto, G. Reeves, Ovarian cancer and oral contraceptives: collaborative reanalysis of data from 45 epidemiological studies including 23, 257 women with ovarian cancer and 87, 303 controls, *Lancet* 371 (9609) (2008) 303–314.
- [62] M.T. Faber, A. Jensen, K. Frederiksen, E. Glud, E. Høgdall, C. Høgdall, J. Blaakaer, S.K. Kjaer, Oral contraceptive use and impact of cumulative intake of estrogen and progestin on risk of ovarian cancer, *Cancer Causes Control* 24 (12) (2013) 2197–2206.
- [63] T. Soini, R. Hurskainen, S. Grénman, J. Mäenpää, J. Paavonen, E. Pukkala, Impact of levonorgestrel-releasing intrauterine system use on the cancer risk of the ovary and fallopian tube, *Acta Oncol.* 55 (11) (2016) 1281–1284.
- [64] V. McGuire, P. Hartge, L.M. Liao, R. Sinha, L. Bernstein, A.J. Canchola, G.L. Anderson, M.L. Stefanick, A.S. Whittemore, Oral contraceptive use in relation to ovarian cancer risk in older women, *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomark. Prev.* 25 (7) (2016) 1059–1063.
- [65] L.S. Cook, C.R. Pestak, A.C. Leung, H. Steed, J. Nation, K. Swenerton, Combined oral contraceptive use before the first birth and epithelial ovarian cancer risk, *Br. J. Cancer* (December 1) (2016), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/bjc.2016.400> (Epub ahead of print).
- [66] P.G. Moorman, L.J. Havrilesky, J.M. Gierisch, R.R. Coeytaux, W.J. Lowery, R. PeragalloUrrutia, M. Dinan, A.J. McBroom, V. Hasselblad, G.D. Sanders, E.R. Myers, Oral contraceptives and risk of ovarian cancer and breast cancer among high-risk women: a systematic review and meta-analysis, *J. Clin. Oncol.* 31 (33) (2013) 4188–4198.
- [67] H.P. Yang, W.F. Anderson, P.S. Rosenberg, et al., Ovarian cancer incidence trends in relation to changing patterns of menopausal hormone therapy use in the United States, *J. Clin. Oncol.* 31 (2013) 2146–2151.
- [68] Collaborative Group On Epidemiological Studies Of Ovarian Cancer, V. Beral, K. Gaitskell, C. Hermon, K. Moser, G. Reeves, R. Peto, Menopausal hormone use and ovarian cancer risk: individual participant meta-analysis of 52 epidemiological studies, *Lancet* 9 (May (9980)) (2015) 1835–1842.
- [69] F.R. Pérez-López, M. Rees, Menopausal hormone therapy and ovarian cancer: putting risk into perspective, *Maturitas* 81 (2015) 3–4.
- [70] S. Shapiro, J.C. Stevenson, A.O. Mueck, R. Baber, Misrepresentation of the risk of ovarian cancer among women using menopausal hormones Spurious findings in a meta-analysis, *Maturitas* 81 (2015) 323–326.
- [71] C.L. Kuhle, E. Kapoor, R. Sood, J.M. Thielen, A. Jatou, S.S. Faubion, Menopausal hormone therapy in cancer survivors: a narrative review of the literature, *Maturitas* 92 (October) (2016) 86–96.
- [72] P. Singh, M.K. Oehler, Hormone replacement after gynaecological cancer, *Maturitas* 65 (2010) 190–197.
- [73] L. Baandrup, S.K. Kjaer, J.H. Olsen, C. Dehlendorf, S. Friis, Low-dose aspirin use and the risk of ovarian cancer in Denmark, *Ann. Oncol.* 26 (4) (2015) 787–792.
- [74] L.C. Peres, F. Camacho, S.E. Abbott, A.J. Alberg, E.V. Bandera, J. Barnholtz-Sloan, M. Bondy, M.L. Cote, S. Crankshaw, E. Funkhouser, P.G. Moorman, E.S. Peters, A.G. Schwartz, P. Terry, F. Wang, J.M. Schildkraut, Analgesic medication use and risk of epithelial ovarian cancer in African American women, *Br. J. Cancer* 114 (March (7)) (2016) 819–825.
- [75] Collaborative Group on Epidemiological Studies of Ovarian Cancer, Ovarian cancer and body size: individual participant meta-analysis including 25, 157 women with ovarian cancer from 47 epidemiological studies, *PLoS Med.* 9 (4) (2012) e1001200.
- [76] D. Aune, D.A. Navarro Rosenblatt, D.S. Chan, L. Abar, S. Vingeliene, A.R. Vieira, D.C. Greenwood, T. Norat, Anthropometric factors and ovarian cancer risk: a systematic review and nonlinear dose-response meta-analysis of prospective studies, *Int. J. Cancer* 136 (April (8)) (2015) 1888–1898.
- [77] E.V. Bandera, B. Qin, P.G. Moorman, A.J. Alberg, J.S. Barnholtz-Sloan, M. Bondy, M.L. Cote, E. Funkhouser, E.S. Peters, A.G. Schwartz, P. Terry, J.M. Schildkraut, Obesity, weight gain, and ovarian cancer risk in African American women, *Int. J. Cancer* 139 (August (3)) (2016) 593–600.
- [78] N. Keum, D.C. Greenwood, D.H. Lee, R. Kim, D. Aune, W. Ju, F.B. Hu, E.L. Giovannucci, Adult weight gain and adiposity-related cancers: a dose-response meta-analysis of prospective observational studies, *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.* 107 (2) (2015) (pii:djv088. 10.1093/jnci/djv088. Review. PubMed PMID: 25757865).
- [79] C.M. Olsen, C.M. Nagle, D.C. Whiteman, R. Ness, C.L. Pearce, M.C. Pike, M.A. Rossing, K.L. Terry, A.H. Wu, Australian Cancer Study (Ovarian Cancer), Australian Ovarian Cancer Study Group, H.A. Risch, H. Yu, J.A. Doherty, J. Chang-Claude, R. Hein, S. Nickels, S. Wang-Gohrke, M.T. Goodman, M.E. Carney, R.K. Matsuno, G. Lurie, K. Moysich, S.K. Kjaer, A. Jensen, E. Høgdall, E.L. Goode, B.L. Fridley, R.A. Vierkant, M.C. Larson, J. Schildkraut, C. Hoyo, P. Moorman, R.P. Weber, D.W. Cramer, A.F. Vitonis, E.V. Bandera, S.H. Olson, L. Rodriguez-Rodriguez, M. King, L.A. Brinton, H. Yang, M. Garcia-Closas, J. Lissowska, H. Anton-Culver, A. Ziogas, S.A. Gayther, S.J. Ramus, U. Menon, A. Gentry-Maharaj, P.M. Webb, Ovarian cancer association consortium. obesity and risk of ovarian cancer subtypes: evidence from the ovarian cancer association consortium, *Endocr. Relat. Cancer* 20 (March (20)) (2013) 251–262.
- [80] P.A. Marchbanks, H. Wilson, E. Bastos, et al., Cigarette smoking and epithelial ovarian cancer by histologic type, *Obstet. Gynecol.* 95 (2000) 255–260.
- [81] I.T. Gram, T. Braaten, H.O. Adami, E. Lund, E. Weiderpass, Cigarette smoking and risk of borderline and invasive epithelial ovarian cancer, *Int. J. Cancer* 122 (3) (2008 Feb 1) 647–652.
- [82] I. Licaj, B.K. Jacobsen, R.M. Selmer, G. Maskarinec, E. Weiderpass, I.T. Gram, Smoking and risk of ovarian cancer by histological subtypes: an analysis among 300 000 Norwegian women, *Br. J. Cancer* (December 13) (2016), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/bjc.2016.418> (Epub ahead of print).
- [83] N. Wentzensen, E.M. Poole, B. Trabert, E. White, A.A. Arslan, A.V. Patel, V.W. Setiawan, et al., Ovarian cancer risk factors by histologic subtype: an analysis from the ovarian cancer cohort consortium, *J. Clin. Oncol.* 34 (August (24)) (2016) 2888–2898.